personal strengths
CHAPTER 2

Resilience Outcomes: Personal Strengths

Personal resilience strengths are the individual characteristics, also called internal assets or personal competencies, associated with healthy development and life success. They do not cause resilience but rather are the positive developmental outcomes demonstrating that this innate capacity is engaged. Michael Baizerman, Professor of Youth Studies at the University of Minnesota, refers to this as “phenomenological resilience,” that which can be seen, observed, and measured. Said even more simply, these personal strengths are what resilience looks like (see Figure 2).

Four categories of often overlapping personal strengths, or manifestations of resilience, were outlined ten years ago in *Fostering Resiliency in Kids* and labeled (1) social competence, (2) problem solving, (3) autonomy, and (4) sense of purpose. While researchers and writers often use differing names for these personal strengths, regardless of terminology, these categories hold up under the scrutiny of another decade of research. [Appendix A compares popular terms for these personal strengths across various theoretical perspectives.] In fact, as Masten states, “Recent studies continue to corroborate the importance of a relatively small set of global factors associated with resilience” (2001, p. 8) that are both personal and environmental. These competencies and strengths appear to transcend ethnicity, culture, gender, geography, and time (Werner & Smith, 1992, 2001). According to a National Research Council and Institute of Medicine report on youth development, “The little available evidence suggests that most of these characteristics are important in all cultural groups” (Eccles & Gootman, 2002, p. 81). Similarly, Werner and Smith find that they also are important across gender (1982, 1992, 2001). These resilience strengths are most fittingly seen as developmental possibilities that can be engaged in all individuals through the provision of the supports and opportunities discussed in chapters 4 through 8.
SOCIAL COMPETENCE

According to Luthar, “Developmental psychologists consider social competence to be a particularly useful indicator of children’s overall positive adaptation or wellness” (Luthar & Burak, 2000, p. 30). Social competence includes the characteristics, skills, and attitudes essential to forming relationships and positive attachments to others. It runs the gamut from having an “easy” temperament to behaving altruistically. Daniel Goleman (1995) names social competence as one of the five ingredients of emotional intelligence. Referred to by Howard Gardner (1993) as “interpersonal intelligence,” it is one of his seven original multiple intelligences.

Responsiveness

Foremost, social competence depends on the ability to elicit positive responses from others. Werner and Smith found this quality, which they refer to as “easy temperament,” predictive of adult adaptation (1992, 2001). Wyman and his colleagues similarly found in the Rochester Child Resilience Project that characteristics of being “well-regulated” and “positive in mood” led to the responsiveness of others and predicted children’s healthy adaptation (1991, 1999). Lillian Rubin’s study of “transcendent” children referred to this quality as “adoptability” (1996), while Masten and Coatsworth (1998) use the terms...
“appealing” and “sociable.” Wolin and Wolin, who identify relationship skills as one of their seven resiliencies, elaborate the process leading to mutually responsive relationships: “Early on, resilient children search out love by connecting or attracting the attention of available adults. Though the pleasures of connections are fleeting and often less than ideal, these early contacts seem enough to give resilient survivors a sense of their own appeal. Infused with confidence, they later branch out into active recruiting — enlisting a friend, neighbor, teacher, policeman, or minister as a parent substitute. Over time, recruiting rounds out to attaching, an ability to form and to keep mutually gratifying relationships” (1993, p. 111).

**Communication**

Social communication skills enable all of the processes of interpersonal connection and relationship building. A particular communication skill, the ability to assert oneself without violating others, is the basis of the conflict resolution/mediation programs that proliferated during the last decade, many with positive effects on reducing interpersonal conflict and other health-risk behaviors (Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence, n.d.; Englander-Golden, 1991; Englander-Golden et al., 1996, 2002).

Cross-cultural communication skills or cultural competence received much research attention over the last decade. For youth of non-dominant cultures, the ability to move back and forth between their primary culture and the dominant culture, or to accommodate the dominant culture without assimilating into it, means learning the “codes of power” while retaining their cultural and self identities. This ability has consistently been identified with school success and positive youth development outcomes (Delpit, 1995; Eccles & Gootman, 2002; Gibson, 1997a, b; Luthar & McMahon, 1996; Mehan et al., 1994). It has also been found to be related to less substance use among youth (Oetting, 1993).

**Empathy and Caring**

Empathy, the ability to know how another feels and understand another’s perspective, is a hallmark of resilience (Werner, 1989; 1992). Empathy not only helps facilitate relationship development, it also helps form the basis of morality, forgiveness, and compassion and caring for others. It is “the fundamental people skill” according to Goleman’s (1995) emotional intelligence work. He cites an international study of over 7,000 people that found “The benefits of being able to read feelings from nonverbal cues included being better adjusted
emotionally, more popular, more outgoing, and more sensitive” (p. 97). Conversely, he states, “The lack of empathy is seen in criminal psychopaths, rapists, and child molesters” (p. 97). Empathy has been identified as a strong predictor of males’ prosocial behavior (Roberts & Strayer, 1996). Moreover, the presence of empathy and caring was found to be a differentiating factor in Werner and Smith’s 18-year-old resilient males (1982).

The Search Institute found some “disturbing trends” in their survey research related to the internal asset of empathy and caring. First, caring appears to diminish as youth grow older. While 61 percent of those in grades 6–8 report themselves as caring, only 46 percent of youth in grades 9–12 express this value. According to Peter Benson, “These numbers suggest that we graduate into adulthood a majority of youth who have lost…the values of caring and compassion” (1997, p. 48). This decline in empathy is especially true for males, with only about one-third of young men holding this value in grades 10–12.

**Compassion, Altruism, and Forgiveness**

Compassion is the desire and will to care for and to help alleviate another's suffering. It is a quality the positive psychology movement’s *Values in Action Classification of Strengths* (Peterson & Seligman, 2003) refers to as humanity, which consists of both kindness and loving and being loved or, more simply, as loving kindness. Recent mind-body research has documented both physiological (immune system) and psychological health benefits from experiences of compassion (Rein et al., 1995).

Like compassion, altruism is often thought of as empathy in action. “The claim that feeling empathic emotion for someone in need evokes altruistic motivation to relieve that need has been called the empathy-altruism hypothesis” (Batson et al., 2002, p. 488). According to these positive psychologists, “Results of the over 25 experiments designed to test this hypothesis against various egoistic alternatives have proven remarkably supportive, leading to the tentative conclusion that feeling empathy for a person in need does indeed evoke altruistic motivation to help that person” (p. 494).

Altruism is not synonymous with helping, however. It refers more precisely to “doing for others what they need and not what you want to do for them” (Durlak, 2000; Vaillant, 2002, p. 71). While altruism is a purely unselfish form of helping, it does, in fact, rebound to the benefit of the helper and is considered the highest form of social competence, (Higgins, 1994; Oliner & Oliner, 1989). In his longitudinal study of adult development, Vaillant (2002)
found altruism to be a “transformative” adaptive defense that turns lead into gold — even in the absence of environmental supports and opportunities.

Gina O’Connell Higgins (1994) documents this quality of compassion and altruism in most of her resilient adults who learned not only to love others but to help alleviate others’ suffering — in spite of their own childhoods of severe deprivation and abuse. Werner and Smith (2001) also cite a longitudinal study of adults who were imprisoned as children with their mothers during the Greek Civil War (Dalianis, 1994), noting that “The most striking qualities shared by these child survivors in adulthood was their compassion for others in need” (p. 11).

Clear in all the resilience literature is the value of forgiveness of self and others, including even one’s abusers. “In general, self-report measures of the propensity to forgive…are correlated positively…with measures of mental health and well-being” (McCullough & Witvliet, 2002, p. 451). Perhaps the most cited example of forgiveness is the story Robert Coles (1986) recounts of Ruby Bridges, the six-year-old African American girl who helped integrate the New Orleans public schools. Despite being spit on, cursed, jeered, and despised, she was able to forgive her tormentors by not taking personally their ignorance and racism.

PROBLEM-SOLVING SKILLS

This category encompasses many abilities, from planning and flexibility through resourcefulness, critical thinking, and insight. The glue that holds them together as a category is a figuring-things-out quality. Werner and Smith found that “Among the high risk individuals who succeeded against the odds, there was a significant association between…a nonverbal measure of problem-solving skills at age 10 and successful adaptation in adulthood” (1992, p. 176). This attribute is often referred to in resilience research as “good intellectual functioning” (Masten & Coatsworth, 1998).

Planning

Planning, as a form of problem solving, has been hypothesized to be the critical skill learned at age three or four in the High/Scope Educational Research Foundation’s Perry Preschool Program. The planning children engaged in enabled their sense of control and hope for the future, thus facilitating broad, positive, adult life outcomes (Schweinhart et al., 1993; Schweinhart & Weikart, 1997a, b, c). Quinton and his associates (1993) found planful behavior was
the primary internal asset of individuals that helped them to avoid choosing troubled mates. This study supported an earlier study (Rutter & Quinton, 1984) that also found planning in the choice of mates to be the critical attribute of institutionally reared women who overcame the odds to lead healthy and successful lives. Similarly, John Claussen’s (1993) longitudinal study of children growing up in the Great Depression found that “planful competence” in adolescence predicted greater educational attainment and fewer life crises in every decade up to their fifties. For the men it predicted greater occupational attainment and for the women happier and more lasting marriages.

**Flexibility**

Flexibility, another problem-solving skill, entails the ability to see alternatives and attempt alternative solutions to both cognitive and social problems. It includes the ability to change courses and not to get stuck. Aging Well, George Vaillant’s (2002) book about Harvard University’s more than 50 years of research on healthy and successful adult development, documents that adaptive coping, another form of flexibility, is a critical life skill. Similarly, in the last decade, the author has asked thousands of adults what personal strength has helped them deal with stress and challenge; flexibility is one of the most often named personal resources. It is also one of the foci of current conflict resolution programs (Crawford & Bodine, 1996).

**Resourcefulness**

Resourcefulness, a critical survival skill, involves identifying external resources and surrogate sources of support. It is a skill also referred to as help-seeking, resource utilization, and just plain “street smarts.” Werner and Smith (1992) found this a critical survival skill that connected challenged youth with environmental resources. Gina O’Connell Higgins (1994), who reviewed the lives of adults who had been sexually abused as children, also documents how valuable this strength was in connecting to turnaround people and places. Of course, resourcefulness must be followed up with initiative, with actually reaching out to available supports and opportunities (see page 22 and the discussion of internal locus of control and initiative). The skill of resourcefulness was found to be an essential component in early intervention programs supporting children growing up in alcoholic families (Beardslee, 1997).

**Critical Thinking and Insight**

Critical thinking refers to higher-order thinking skills, analytic habits of thinking that go beneath surface impressions, traditional myths, and opinions
to an understanding of the context or to discovering the deep meaning of any event, statement, or situation (Schor, 1993). It can also include meta-learning skills, that is, learning how to learn, or meta-cognitive skills that allow one to examine one’s own thought process (this is similar to what is described as self-awareness on page 26). Meta-cognitive skills include problem-solving appraisal (Heppner & Lee, 2002), and, according to researchers of this concept, problemsolving appraisal strengths are associated with better psychological and social adjustment, lower levels of depression and anxiety, greater hope, better physical health, and better coping with adversity (Heppner & Lee).

Critical thinking helps young people develop a sense of critical consciousness, the awareness of the structures of oppression (whether imposed by an alcoholic parent, an insensitive school, or a racist society, for example) and the creation of strategies for overcoming them, helping, thus, to prevent internalized oppression and a sense of victimhood (Freire, 1993; hooks, 1994).

Insight is the deepest form of problem solving and very similar to the concept of critical consciousness. It includes intuitive awareness of environmental cues — especially of danger — as well as realizations that transform one's perceived reality. According to Wolin and Wolin (1993), insight is the personal strength that contributes most to resiliency. They define it as “the mental habit of asking penetrating questions of oneself and, subsequently, providing honest answers” (p. 71). In her qualitative study of resilient children from troubled families, Lillian Rubin describes those able to use insight as follows: “They make their way comfortably in the social world while, at the same time, they move about that world with a healthy skepticism, rarely falling victim to naïve assumptions, always wary about accepting what they see around them at face value — a product, no doubt, of having grown up in an environment where the façade of family and social life was very different from the reality” (1996, p. 224).

Insight allows children growing up in great adversity to figure out that all fathers do not beat their children, that a schizophrenic mother’s bizarre behavior is not normal, that many children do have enough food to eat and a safe place to sleep, etc. Insight helps children interpret and perceive their adversity in a way that allows them to move beyond victimhood and see themselves and their lives in new ways (O’Gorman, 1994). Insight is demonstrated, for example, when children growing up in troubled families “see themselves as different from their parents; remain relatively free of guilt because a parent’s illness cannot be a child’s fault; filter and evaluate the information disturbed parents
pass along; and hold images of themselves and of the world they inhabit that are more pleasing than the ones their parents project” (Wolin & Wolin, 1993, p. 79). Lillian Rubin’s study of “transcendent” adults includes the following description of the development of her own sense of insight: “In my own life, although my mother kept telling me that my brother was the smart one in the family, my teachers reflected back another image of myself. Where my mother was rejecting, they were kind and accepting; where she told me I wasn’t smart, they let me know I was. It didn’t take the sting of my mother’s rejection away, but it did open up the possibility of another way of seeing myself that I could take comfort in” (Beneke, 1997, p. 10).

Like other resilience strengths, psychologists increasingly believe that insight is not just something we use “when confronted with perplexing obstacles. On the contrary, humans seek out problems to be solved; solving problems is one of our great joys” (Schulman, 2002, p. 322). In fact, Schulman’s research on children as young as two years old finds that even they are driven by four basic questions: “What’s out there? What leads to what? What makes things happen? and What’s controllable?” (p. 322).

**AUTONOMY**

The category of autonomy includes many inter-related and overlapping sub-categories of attributes revolving around the development of one’s sense of self, of identity, and of power. Autonomy involves an ability to act independently and to feel a sense of control over one’s environment. Gordon and Song’s (1994) retrospective qualitative study of successful African Americans who were raised in poverty found autonomy, or self-directedness, a common strength. In the field of motivational psychology, Deci and Ryan’s more than 30 years of research on self-determination theory has documented autonomy as the critical personal strength underlying other strengths and intrinsic motivation. They state, in fact, that “feelings of competence [in any skill or task] will not enhance intrinsic motivation unless accompanied by a sense of autonomy…” (Ryan & Deci, 2000, p. 70). This finding has profound implications for teaching and learning, as we will see in our discussion of engaging schools.

Autonomy is also associated with positive health and a sense of well-being (Deci, 1995; Ryan & Deci, 2000). “To be autonomous means to act in accord with one’s self — it means feeling free and volitional in one’s actions. When autonomous, people are fully willing to do what they are doing, and they embrace the activity with a sense of interest and commitment. Their actions emanate from their true sense of self” (Deci, 1995, p. 2).
Positive Identity

According to Erik Erikson’s (1968) theory of psychosocial development, achievement of a positive, coherent identity — the sense of one’s internal, relatively stable self apart from others — is the critical developmental task of adolescence. Harter concurs: “Defining who one is in relation to multiple others, determining what one will become, and discovering which of one’s many selves is the ‘true self’ are the normative developmental tasks of this period” (1990, p. 383). Adams and his colleagues explain further, “Not yet firmly tied by adult commitments, the adolescent may try out a variety of commitments in occupation and ideology, eventually adopting a more or less permanent sense of who he or she is” (1992, p. 10).

Research has confirmed that a clear sense of identity is associated with optimal psychological functioning in terms of personal well-being and the absence of anxiety and depression; with goal-directed activity and problem solving; and with social competence, in terms of attitudes of social acceptance, cooperation and helping, and intimate personal relationships (Waterman, 1992). Positive self-identity is closely aligned and often used synonymously with positive self-evaluation or self-esteem. These characteristics are not only critical to normative development but have consistently been documented as characteristics describing “resilient” children and adolescents, those who have overcome many odds (Masten & Coatsworth, 1998; Werner & Smith, 1992).

Research in this last decade has also looked at the concept of social identities, identities related to one’s membership in a social group. “For adolescents from ethnic minority groups, the process of identity formation has an added dimension due to their exposure to alternative sources of identification, their own ethnic group and the mainstream or dominant culture” (Phinney & Rosenthal, 1992, p. 145). Furthermore, according to Phinney and Rosenthal, “If ethnic minority youth are to construct a strong, positive, and stable self-identity, then they must be able to incorporate into that sense of self a positively valued ethnic identity” (p. 145).

While much research, especially longitudinal, still needs to be done, according to a National Research Council and Institute of Medicine report, “Recent studies have found that a strong positive ethnic identity is associated with high self-esteem, a strong commitment to doing well in school, a strong sense of purpose in life, great confidence in one’s own personal efficacy, and high academic achievement” (Eccles & Gootman, 2002, p. 80).
Neighborhood-based organizations that provide youth the opportunity to explore their ethnic identities as well as those of others have been found to be a major source of support for adolescents in developing a positive sense of self (Heath & McLaughlin, 1993; McLaughlin et al., 1994).

**Internal Locus of Control and Initiative**

Internal locus of control, a generalized sense of being in charge or of having personal power, was a key determinant of resilience in Werner and Smith's (1992) longitudinal study, in the Rochester Longitudinal Study (Wyman et al., 1992), and in the life-course study conducted by Norman Watt and his colleagues (1995). In the latter, 78 percent of these resilient adults agreed that “A primary requirement for transcending adversity…was to understand that they were able to control the course of their lives” (p. 233).

In a review of empowerment, Wallerstein states, “People with an internal locus of control have long been associated with better health habits, compliance, and fewer illnesses than those with an external locus of control” (1992, p. 199). While studies continually find an association between lack of control and depression (Seligman, 1992), a recent study also found that a sense of personal control explained most of the relationship between socioeconomic status and depression (Turner et al., 1999). Luthar and Zigler (1992) also found in their study of inner-city youth that believing “that events in their lives are determined largely by their own efforts” was associated with their motivation and effort to do well at school, a finding also of the earlier work of Jeff Howard and the Efficacy Institute (1992).

Recent research on HIV-positive men has revealed that even an unrealistic sense of personal control is health protective (Taylor et al., 2000). Making the case that the control motive is basic to the human condition, Thompson (2002) cites research studies that have demonstrated its many benefits: better ability to deal with stress, less anxiety and depression, less traumatization by victimization, and more initiative and better physical health. Conversely, powerlessness — external locus of control or learned helplessness (acting like a victim) — has a long history in stress research, experimental psychology, social psychology, and social epidemiology as a major risk factor for disease (Herman, 1997; Ryan & Deci, 2000; Seligman, 1992/1998; Wallerstein, 1992).

One important caution in looking at internal locus of control is that the development of this quality rests on individuals first recognizing what they cannot control, that is, what is outside of their “sphere of influence” (Stohlberg
For example, children must recognize that the abuse they’ve experienced at home or the racism they encountered in their school was not their fault and was not within their sphere of influence or control.

According to Higgins, recognizing prior victimization actually leads to heightening one’s internal locus of control. “Once you see what you could not possibly control as a child, you can also honor what you are able to control in adulthood — primarily yourself and your own reactions to external events” (1994, p. 293). She goes on to observe that “The resilient resolve to put their fate in their own hands. To do this, they are willing to take great — although carefully calculated — risks to reshape their lot. They find the role of adult victim frightening, since it gives away power and control to others” (p. 294).

Initiative, a concept almost synonymous with locus of control, is defined by Larson as the “ability to be motivated from within to direct attention and effort toward a challenging goal” (2000, p. 170). Erikson (1968) saw the development of initiative as the critical task of childhood. Initiative, in terms of the action step that follows identifying resources and believing you can connect with them, is often labeled support-seeking in community psychology (Barrera & Prelow, 2000) or recruiting by clinical psychologists (Higgins, 1994; Wolin & Wolin, 1993). Vaillant found this quality to be one that differentiated healthy development across the lifespan. Resilient adults, he notes, “don’t nurse resentments or the poor-me’s, but ask for help” (2002, p. 308).

Larson claims that initiative is a core quality of positive youth development in Western culture and lies at the heart of other strengths such as creativity, leadership, altruism, and civic engagement. He sees initiative not only as the action of engaging resources but of engaging in a concentrated activity, similar to Csikszentmihalyi’s (1990) concept of “flow” (see a further discussion of flow on page 29, under special interest, creativity, and imagination).

**Self-Efficacy and Mastery**

Bandura’s 20 years of research on self-efficacy has documented that it is the *belief* in one’s power that determines personal life outcomes, no matter whether one actually has power (1995, 1997). According to another researcher, “Believing that you can accomplish what you want to accomplish is one of the most important ingredients — perhaps the most important ingredient — in the recipe for success” (Maddux, 2002, p. 277). In fact, “The timeless message of research on self-efficacy is the simple, powerful truth that confidence, effort, and persistence are more potent than innate ability” (p. 285).
During the last 15 years, much research has found that perceived self-efficacy is a critical factor in whether individuals change a whole range of health-risk behaviors (Schwarzer & Fuchs, 1995). “Perceived self-efficacy stands out as a major contributor [in adopting positive health behaviors] that affects not only the decision-making process but also the initiation and maintenance process” (Schwarzer & Fuchs, 1995, p. 281). In fact, all the major theories of health behavior include self-efficacy as a key component. According to Madux, “Researchers have shown that enhancing self-efficacy beliefs is crucial to successful change and maintenance of virtually every behavior crucial to health, including exercise, diet, stress management, safe sex, smoking cessation, overcoming alcohol abuse, compliance with treatment and prevention regimens, and disease detection behaviors such as breast self-examinations” (2002, p. 281).

Similarly, perceived self-efficacy plays a major role in educational success, in terms of both motivation and achievement. “The overall findings of cross-sectional, longitudinal, and experimental studies are quite consistent in showing that beliefs in personal efficacy enhance effort and persistence in academic activities” (Multon et al., 1991; Schunk, 1991; Zimmerman, 1995, p. 207). Not surprisingly, research has also demonstrated the impact of efficacy beliefs on actual academic achievement (Schunk, 1989).

Closely related to self-efficacy is mastery, which refers to feeling competent or experiencing the sense of doing something well. In fact, having mastery experiences is one of the most effective means of developing a sense of efficacy.

Experiences of overcoming challenges — whether intellectual or personal, help people recognize their resilience. According to Bandura, “After people become convinced they have what it takes to succeed, they persevere in the face of adversity and quickly rebound from setbacks. By sticking it out through tough times, they emerge stronger from adversity” (1995, p. 3). This statement is borne out in resilience studies again and again as a critical determinant of life success (Masten & Coatsworth, 1998; Rutter, 1989; Werner & Smith, 1992). According to Masten (2002), mastery is a powerful motivational system, serving to keep development on course. This researcher has asked thousands of people over the last ten years the following question: “What has fostered your resilience; what has helped you see yourself and your life in a new way?” One of the most common responses refers to adversity and challenge. Respondents learned that they could, indeed, mend stronger at the break.
Adaptive Distancing and Resistance

Much has been written about the protective power of adaptive distancing for children growing up in families troubled by parental alcoholism, abuse, and mental illness. Adaptive distancing involves emotionally detaching oneself from parental, school, or community dysfunction, realizing that one is not the cause of and cannot control the dysfunction of others and that one’s own future will be different (Beardslee, 1997; Beardslee & Podorefsky, 1988; Chess, 1989; Rubin, 1996). According to Chess, “Such distancing provided a buffer that was protective of developmental course, of self-esteem, and of the ability to acquire constructive goals” (1989, p. 195). Rubin saw this quality in the lives she studied as follows: “The ability to hold onto a self, even in the face of the assaults they suffered — made it possible to stand back and observe the fray without getting bogged down in it. They may have been pained, angered, and frightened by the events of their lives, but they retained enough distance not to get caught in endlessly blaming themselves” (1996, p. 225).

Resistance is one form of adaptive distancing. The refusal to accept negative messages about one’s self, one’s gender, or one’s culture or race serves as a powerful protector of autonomy. A whole literature on “oppositional identity work” emerged in the 1990s to describe the strategies of resistance that marginalized youth use “to protect what they regard as their true selves” (Hemmings, 2000). Herb Kohl’s (1994) essay, “I Won’t Learn From You,” narrates how resistance, while usually perceived negatively by schools and juvenile authorities, can be a powerful ally when working with marginalized young people. While resistance appears to be an internal protective mechanism guarding a person’s sense of self, it requires the complementary development of a critical consciousness, insight, or self-awareness to become a positive, transformative strength.

Mehan and his colleagues found academically successful Latino and African American students employed a resistance strategy they refer to as “accommodation without assimilation” (1994, p. 113). These young people negotiated dual identities by achieving academically at school while affirming their cultural identity and maintaining a critical consciousness. Gordon and Song (1994) found their resilient adults had been able to withstand peer pressure to be part of gangs, to gamble, and so on, and to “march to the beat of a different drummer.” When this quality of physical and emotional distancing is examined more closely, researchers have found it undergirded by self-awareness and mindfulness (see below). Weinstein (2002, p. 193) cites research by Mavis Sanders who found successful African American students
reframed racism as a challenge, employing an “I’ll show them” response which motivated their learning and life success: “All my life, I have hated to hear anyone say, ‘You can’t do this.’ If someone tells me that I can’t, I just find a way to do it. It makes me want to do it more” (Sanders, 1997, p. 90).

Self-Awareness and Mindfulness

Self-awareness, according to Daniel Goleman, is the most critical source of emotional intelligence. He defines self-awareness as “a nonreactive, nonjudgmental attention to inner states,” sometimes called mindfulness (1995, pp. 47, 315). Jon Kabat-Zinn, perhaps the leading writer on mindfulness practice, sees mindfulness as “the art of conscious living.... It is simply a practical way to be more in touch with the fullness of our being through a systematic process of self-observation, self-inquiry, and mindful action.... It has to do with waking up and seeing things as they are” (1994, p. 6). “When we are mindful, we become sensitive to context and perspective; we are situated in the present” (Langer, 2002, p. 214). According to Shapiro and her colleagues (2002), mindfulness qualities consist of the following: nonjudging, nonstriving, acceptance, patience, trust, openness, letting go, gentleness, generosity, empathy, gratitude, and loving kindness. These qualities also comprise what Herbert Benson (1996) considers the “relaxation response,” the innate capacity to tap into an inner source of peace. Thought/mood/affect recognition are other terms commonly used in the literature (Pransky, 1998; Vaillant, 2000).

Self-awareness includes observing one’s thinking, feelings, attributions or “explanatory” style as well as paying attention to one’s moods, strengths, and needs as they arise, without getting caught up in emotion. According to Goleman, “At a minimum, it manifests itself simply as a slight stepping-back from experience, a parallel stream of consciousness that is ‘meta’: hovering above or beside the main flow, aware of what is happening rather than being immersed and lost in it. It is the difference between being murderously enraged at someone and having the self-reflexive thought, ‘This is anger I’m feeling’ even as you are enraged” (1995, p. 47).

Over the course of 30 years’ research with resilient children growing up in families with mental illness or their own physical illness, Beardslee found that self-understanding, “the capacity to reflect on one’s surroundings,” was what allowed them to adaptively distance and “take appropriate action.” For example, Beardslee reports that those children he studied whose parents were ill “were able to articulate their difficulties at length and showed much awareness
of their parents’ disorder. At the same time, they saw themselves as separate from their parents’ illness and fully comprehended that they were not to blame and should not feel guilty about it. They attributed their ability to move on and take action outside the home in part to this understanding” (1997, p. 525).

According to one of the developers of the theory of emotional intelligence, John Mayer, self-aware people “have some sophistication about their emotional lives. Their clarity about emotions may underlie other personality traits: they are autonomous and sure of their own boundaries, are in good psychological health, and tend to have a positive outlook on life. When they get into a bad mood, they don’t ruminate and obsess about it, and are able to get out of it sooner. In short, their mindfulness helps them manage their emotions” (Goleman, 1995, p. 48). The capacity for self-awareness serves as a powerful self-regulatory, adaptational system “keeping development on course and facilitating recovery from adversity when more normative conditions are restored” (Masten, 2002, p. 82).

Self-awareness often involves not only stepping back from the grip of emotion, but the mental act of reframing (also referred to as cognitive restructuring) one’s experience, to see oneself and one’s life in new ways. Some thinkers consider this transformative, reframing power to be the essence of resilience (Beardslee, 1997; Benard & Marshall, 1997; Bennett-Goleman, 2001; Dalai Lama, 1998; Frankl, 1984; Kumpfer, 1999; Mills, 1995; O’Gorman, 1994; Salzberg, 2002; Vaillant, 2000; Wolin & Wolin, 1993).

Viktor Frankl saw evidence of this reframing power over and over in his years in concentration camps in Nazi Germany. He wrote, “We who lived in concentration camps can remember the men who walked through the huts comforting others, giving away their last piece of bread. They may have been few in number, but they offer sufficient proof that everything can be taken from a man but one thing: the last of the human freedoms — to choose one’s attitude in any given set of circumstances, to choose one’s own way” (1984, p. 86).

**Humor**

Besides serving as a powerful social competence skill helping to build positive connections between people (Lefcourt, 2001), humor helps one transform anger and sadness into laughter and helps one get distance from pain and adversity. Dacher Keltner’s research on the differing effects of trauma on people’s lives puts laughter high on the list of what can bring about meaning and positive transformation after a traumatic event. “Humans have a wonderful capacity to find humor in the juxtaposition of life and death. Many
of our positive emotions are directed at transforming the distress and trauma that results from the human condition” (McBroom, 2002). Others also make the case for humor’s ability to transform pain, for example in the midst of stress and challenge (Higgins, 1994; Kumpfer, 1999; Vaillant, 2000; Vande Berg & Van Bockern, 1995; Wolin & Wolin, 1993).

Higgins (1994) found in her research that healthy adults who had been sexually abused as children identified humor “as the polestar of their finding true pleasure despite their earlier agony. It is an aspect of them that they always engage, a part they always cherish…. It allows them to attain, as adults, some sense of [their lost] childhood” (p. 311). Likewise, Vaillant found humor to be one of the critical adaptive/mature defenses used by resilient individuals across the lifespan: “Mature humor allows people to look directly at what is painful…and permits the expression of emotion without individual discomfort and without unpleasant effects on others” (2000, p. 95).

A large research base establishes the power of humor even to physically heal (Lefcourt, 2002). Dr. Carl O. Simonton reports that “A ‘lightness of being’ — an ability to laugh, to play, or even simply to smile — can pull us out of despair and enlarge our wish to live by increasing the energy available for healing and recovery” (Burger, 1995, p. 15). Several studies over the last two decades have documented humor’s positive effects on immune system functioning and, over the last decade, on its effect on neuroendocrine hormones involved in stress responses (Lefcourt, 2002).

**A SENSE OF PURPOSE AND BRIGHT FUTURE**

This category of inter-related strengths ranges from goal direction to optimism to creativity to a sense of meaning and coherence — the deep belief that one’s life has meaning and that one has a place in the universe. These assets, based on an orientation toward a compelling and bright future, are probably the most powerful in propelling young people to healthy outcomes despite adversity (Werner & Smith, 1982, 1992). A positive and strong future focus has consistently been identified with academic success, a positive self-identity, and fewer health-risk behaviors (Masten & Coatsworth, 1998; Quinton et al., 1993; Seligman, 2002; Snyder et al., 2002; Wyman et al., 1993).

**Goal Direction, Achievement Motivation, and Educational Aspirations**

All of these future-oriented resilience strengths are attributed in the literature to young people who succeed in school (Anderman et al., 2002) and who do not get in trouble with alcohol and other drugs, with teen pregnancy, or
with dropping out of school, even in the face of multiple risks and challenges (Wigfield & Eccles, 2002; Furstenberg et al., 1998; Masten, 1994; Newcomb & Bentler, 1988; Watt et al., 1995; Werner & Smith, 1992). Goal-direction is also synonymous with planful competence, discussed earlier as a problem-solving skill. Higgins found that a “fierce fidelity to a nascent vision” enabled her challenged youths to persevere during their traumatic childhoods (1994, p. 124). Similarly, Watt and his colleagues used terms such as “relentless effort,” “persistent inner drive,” and “unshakable determination to survive” as the critical attributes in their longitudinal study of resilience (1995, p. 240). Such “anticipation,” defined by Vaillant (2000) as going beyond cognitive planning to also feeling about the future, is yet another adaptive mechanism contributing to health and wellness. The more general concept of intrinsic motivation, of having direction, persistence, determination, and intention, is also used by motivational psychologists to describe optimal human functioning (Ryan & Deci, 2000).

Achievement motivation is one of the key factors influencing behavior and performance. It “refers to motivation in situations in which individuals’ competence is at issue” (Wigfield & Eccles, 2002, p. 1). According to a literature review by Scales and Leffert (1999), achievement motivation has been consistently linked to academic success factors, such as increased high school completion, increased enrollment in college, increased reading and mathematics achievement test scores, and higher grades. Moreover, they also found achievement motivation associated with better mental health, communication skills, and lower levels of problem behaviors. In a 1992 analysis of two longitudinal studies, High School and Beyond (HS&B) and the National Educational Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS: 88), Peng (1994) found the individual factors of educational aspirations and internal locus of control to be the most powerful correlates of school success. Even in late life, according to Vaillant, “Gusto for education [remains] highly correlated with psychological health (2002, p. 246).

Special Interest, Creativity, and Imagination

Werner and Smith (1982, 1992) found that children who had special interests and hobbies that compelled their attention and gave them a sense of task mastery were among their resilient overcomers. “Most of the resilient children in our high-risk sample were not unusually talented, but they took great pleasure in interests and hobbies that brought them solace when things fell apart in their home lives” (1992, p. 205).
This special interest is often in some form of the creative arts — painting, drawing, singing, playing music, dancing, drama, etc. The value for children of expressing creativity is validated by a growing body of research on the creative arts (Catterall, 1997; Heath et al., 1998; Morrison Institute, 1995), brain science (Diamond & Hopson, 1998; Sylwester, 1998), and multiple intelligences (H. Gardner, 1993, 2000). Resilience research documents the critical role that creativity and imagination play in surviving and transcending adversity, trauma, and risk (A. Miller, 1990; Higgins, 1994; Wolin & Wolin, 1993). Conversely, creativity research has demonstrated the relationship between later creativity and earlier adversity. According to Dean Keith Simonton, a leading creativity researcher, “It is a startling testimony to the adaptive powers of the human being that some of the most adverse childhoods can give birth to the most creative adulthoods” (2000, p. 153). Moreover, studies of successful aging also demonstrate the link between creativity in childhood and adolescence and psychological and physical well-being in adulthood (Csikszentmihalyi, 1996; Vaillant, 2002).

The imagination provides a channel to a positive future for children living in stressful environments (Rubin, 1996). For example, children’s literature had been important to many in O’Connell Higgins study of resilient adults. “Books, the most accessible source of imagery during my subjects’ childhoods in the forties and fifties, were especially pivotal to virtually all of them. Hungry readers from early on, they found in literature an omnipresent, movable feast. Authors often write to communicate their own vision, and many children feel that an author is writing to them personally. Thus many subjects said that literature provided deeply influential and satisfying company (1994, p. 179).

Having a special interest and being able to use one’s creativity or imagination can result in “flow” or self-actualizing, optimal experiences, described earlier as experiences of total involvement, engagement, and participation. These flow experiences not only provide a sense of task mastery but offer a meaningful, compelling, transcendent experience, distancing one from current challenges and stresses and serving “as a buffer against adversity and prevent[ing] pathology” (Nakamura & Csikszentmihalyi, 2002, p. 102). As Csikszentmihalyi describes a flow experience, “Concentration is so intense that there is no attention left over to think about anything irrelevant, or to worry about problems. Self-consciousness disappears, and the sense of time becomes distorted. An activity that produces such experiences is so gratifying that people are willing to do it for its own sake, with little concern for what they will get out of it, even when it is difficult or dangerous” (1990, p. 71).
Csikszentmihalyi’s years of research on flow support findings “by psychologists who study happiness, life satisfaction, and intrinsic motivation; by sociologists who see in [flow] the opposite of anomie and alienation; and by anthropologists who are interested in the phenomena of collective effervescence and rituals” (1990, p. 5). They have all found optimal experiences to be discriminating between people who experience a sense of psychological well-being and those who do not. In his study of “talented teens,” Csikszentmihalyi and his colleagues (1994) found the nurturing of these experiences critical in adolescents who maintained their motivation and talents during the teen years.

**Optimism and Hope**

While optimism and hope each reflects a positive motivational stance and expectations toward the future, optimism is often linked to positive beliefs and cognitions, and hope is associated with positive emotions and feelings. Long-term studies of resilience as well as mind-body studies have found optimism and hope — usually referred to interchangeably in this literature — to be associated with holistic health: mental, physical, social, emotional, and spiritual (H. Benson, 1996; Carver & Scheier, 2002; Higgins, 1994; Peterson & Steen, 2002; Seligman, 1992/1998, 2002; Seligman et al., 1995; Snyder, 2000; Snyder et al., 2002; Werner & Smith, 1992, 2001).

Over the last decade, the influential psychologist Martin Seligman turned his research attention from depression and “learned helplessness” to optimism, strengths, and resilience (Seligman, 1992/1998, 2002; Seligman et al., 1995), mirroring and perhaps accelerating the paradigm shift taking place in the field generally. Seligman’s research on optimism has focused on explanatory style, on how a person explains the causes of bad events. It goes a step beyond the self-awareness and mindfulness of recognizing one’s thoughts and their role in creating one’s reality to the act of choosing to see the glass as half-full instead of half-empty or to say “yes” instead of “no.” Seligman and his colleagues found that the optimists they studied explained bad events in three very different ways from the pessimists:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PESSIMIST</th>
<th>OPTIMIST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal:</td>
<td>“This is my fault.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pervasive:</td>
<td>“My whole life is rotten.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent:</td>
<td>“I’ll always be a loser.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Research has shown direct connections between hope and the resilience strengths of social competence, problem solving, and self-efficacy, as well as with academic achievement.

Seligman’s Penn Resiliency Project (Seligman et al., 1995), formerly called the Penn Prevention Project, takes the teaching of explanatory style down into the elementary grades. Children and youth were found to have less emotional distress and less physical illness after being part of this project (Peterson & Seligman, 2003). Others have found that even unrealistically optimistic beliefs about the future are health protective (Taylor et al., 2000).

With regard to the related concept of hope, Werner and Smith (1992, 2001) found that “The central component in the lives of the resilient individuals in this study which contributed to their effective coping in adulthood appeared to be a confidence [a hopefulness] that the odds can be surmounted.” In Werner’s three historical accounts of how children coped during the American Civil War (1998), as members of the Donner party crossing the High Sierras (1995), and during the London bombing raids during World War II (2000b), hope appears to have been the mainstay of these survivors. Higgins found hope so central in the lives of her survivors of childhood abuse, poverty, and cultural hatred that she frames her subjects’ personal strengths and environmental supports and opportunities in terms of their “locus of hope.”

In their studies of hope, positive psychologists have developed a “full hope model.” According to these psychologists, “Hopeful thinking necessitates both the perceived capacity to envision workable routes [pathways] and goal-directed energy” (Snyder et al., 2002, p. 258). Not surprisingly, this research has shown direct connections between hope and the resilience strengths discussed above — social competence, problem solving, and self-efficacy, as well as with academic achievement.

**Faith, Spirituality, and Sense of Meaning**

This group of personal strengths represents the transformational quality of making meaning, whether by attributing meaning to that outside of one’s control or by creating one’s own meaning. It has been associated with healthy development throughout the lifespan.

Researchers have found that some resilient individuals draw strength from religion, others benefit from more general faith or spirituality, and others achieve a sense of stability or coherence by finding personal answers to questions about their sense of purpose and self-worth. According to Robert Coles (1990), “Children try to understand not only what is happening to them but why; and in doing that they call upon the religious life they have experienced, the spiritual values they have received, as well as other sources of potential explanation” (p. 100).
Having a belief system that allows one to attribute meaning to misfortune and illness, a form of reframing, has been found in mind-body medicine to produce better psychological and physical outcomes (O’Leary & Ickovics, 1995; Taylor et al., 2000). Other research has found that people who can attribute a spiritual design or meaning to personal adversity, tragedy, or trauma fare better psychologically — with less depression and anxiety — and physically (Masten, 1994; Gordon & Song, 1994; Pargament, 1997; Pargament & Mahoney, 2002).

“Religiosity,” the importance of religious faith (but not necessarily of attending services), has been found to correlate with health-risk behavior reduction. The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Resnick et al., 1997) found that for both middle and high school students, religiosity was associated with noninvolvement in health-risk behaviors of substance use, early sexual debut, and unsafe sex. This finding is also borne out in surveys done by the Search Institute (Benson et al., 1997; Donohue & Benson, 1995). Donohue and Benson (1995) found that by promoting altruism, religiosity likely had its greatest effect in protecting against risk behaviors.

However, religiosity was not found to be universally beneficial (Maton & Wells, 1995). In fact, Benson and his colleagues (1997) report that some religious approaches were found to be harmful. “Faith with an accepting and liberating message appeared to be associated with less antisocial behavior and more prosocial behavior among youth, whereas faith that had a controlling and rigid orientation seemed to be associated with young people’s antisocial behavior” (Scales & Leffert, 1999, p. 162).

The poet and social critic Paul Goodman once said, “Faith is the knowledge that the ground will be there when you take a step” (Guy, 2003, p. 80). In her study of resilient adults, Higgins reports that most of them had as children found faith, regardless of religion. Drawing on faith development theory, (a developmental psychology approach to studying religion), she paraphrases Sharon Parks’s definition of faith: “Convictional knowing…that in which we invest our hearts, the anchor which is adequate to ground, unify, and order our lives” (1994, p. 173). Higgins organizes this meaning-making process in terms of “two overarching themes: faith in surmounting and faith in human relationships as the wellspring of overcoming” (p. 172). “First,” she suggests, “the resilient develop a core convictional foundation about the importance of loving well that withstands their harsh treatment as children. Second, their faith undergirds whatever specific religious or secular beliefs they might hold. Third, their faith is anchored in their relationships with others” (p. 173).
Sharon Salzberg explains the beginning of her journey to “faith” or “core conviction” as follows: “My new story was about to begin. It would be one that explores what happens when, in the face of any circumstance, whether joyful or painful, we choose to have faith in generosity, kindness, and clear seeing. It would be the story of learning to have faith in our own innate goodness and capacity to love. It would be the story of seeing past the apparent randomness of ‘sheer happenings’ to uncover layers and layers of connection. It would be the story of knowing, even in the midst of great suffering, that we can still belong to life, that we’re not cast out and alone.” (2002, pp. 22–23).

Werner and Smith also found that “For the majority of the men and women in this cohort, faith was not tied to a specific formal religious affiliation but rather to confidence in some center of value.” (Emmy Werner & Ruth Smith)

Werner and Smith also found that “For the majority of the men and women in this cohort, faith was not tied to a specific formal religious affiliation but rather to confidence in some center of value…. [T]he resilient used their faith to maintain a positive vision of a meaningful life and to negotiate successfully an abundance of emotionally hazardous experiences” (1992, p. 207). This finding is perhaps best articulated by Mervlyn Kitashima, one of the “high-risk” children in Werner and Smith’s study: “When there was no Grandma Kahaunaele, when there was no Ron Marsh, when there was no Wynona Reuben, [or] the many others — was that somewhere, someplace down the line, somebody had taught me, ‘There is somebody greater than us who loves you.’ And that was my hope and my belief. Whatever that translates for you — a belief in a God, a belief in a religion, a goal, a dream, something that we can all hang on to” (1997, p. 36).

The human search for meaning has often been labeled “spirituality,” and as such has over the past decade been increasingly explored by the positive psychology movement and in health research. Researchers Pargament and Mahoney describe spirituality as finding “ways to understand and deal with our fundamental human insufficiency, the fact that there are limits to our control” (2002, p. 655).

The making of meaning is not just about transforming pain and suffering, but applies as well to living a rewarding life. In ongoing studies of what people seek in their everyday lives, Emmons and his colleagues (1998) categorize these needs as “strivings,” such as achievement, intimacy, power, and spirituality. Spirituality was more highly correlated with measures of well-being than any other “striving.” Baumeister (1991) identifies four meaning-related human needs: to have purpose, to have value, to feel a sense of efficacy, and to feel a sense of self-worth. Muller (1996) translates these needs into “four simple
questions that reveal the beauty and meaning of [all] our lives": Who am I? What do I love? How shall I live? and How can I make a difference?

Meaning-making in the form of writing or speaking one’s story is consistently associated in the research with positive health outcomes (Baumeister & Vohs, 2002; Esterling et al., 1999; Rubin, 1996) and even with academic ones (Pennebaker et al., 1990). According to Baumeister and his colleagues, the human organism is continually seeking stability in the face of change. “It turns to meaning to help create that stability. Thus, meaning can be regarded as one of humanity’s tools for imposing stability on life” (Baumeister & Vohs, 2002, p. 609). In these terms, the search for meaning can be viewed as one of the “self-righting tendencies” tracked by Werner and Smith in their lifespan research.