



Analysis of Student Work Protocol

from WestEd's VITAL Collaboration Facilitator's Guide

By WestEd

- Jump to document
- Learn about WestEd's VITAL Collaboration
- Visit WestEd.org

RECOMMENDED CITATION:

WestEd. (2017). Analysis of student work protocol. In VITAL Collaboration Facilitator's Guide. San Francisco, CA: Author. Retrieved from: https://www.wested.org/service/vital-collaboration-developing-and-revitalizing-professional-learning-communities/

About WestEd

WestEd is a nonpartisan, nonprofit research, development, and service agency that works with education and other communities throughout the United States and abroad to promote excellence, achieve equity, and improve learning for children, youth, and adults. WestEd has more than a dozen offices nationwide, from Massachusetts, Vermont, Georgia, and Washington, DC, to Arizona and California, with headquarters in San Francisco.

Areas of Work

- O College & Career
- O Early Childhood Development & Learning
- O English Language Learners
- O Health, Safety, & Well-Being
- O Literacy
- O Schools, Districts, & State Education Systems
- O Science, Technology, Engineering, & Mathematics
- O Special Education
- O Standards, Assessment, & Accountability
- O Teachers & Leaders

Limited Electronic Distribution Rights

This document is protected by copyright law as indicated in a notice appearing later in this work. This PDF is provided for non-commercial use only. Permission is required from WestEd to reproduce or reuse in any other form for commercial use. For more information, please visit http://www.WestEd.org/permissions or email permissions@WestEd.org.



Analysis of Student Work Protocol

Rev. 10/17

PURPOSE

The purpose of this protocol is to use the focus standards of instruction to analyze student work in order to inform teaching and learning. **Suggested Time: 45-60 minutes**

PREPARATIONS AND MATERIALS

- » Copies of completed prep sheet.
- » Copies of the student work to be examined. If necessary, only bring in representative samples of student work.
- » Access to content and literacy standards.
- » Copies of any relevant assignment materials.

PROCESS

1. Determine Roles and Set Norms (3 minutes)

- » Roles: Facilitator, Presenting Teacher(s), Time Keeper, Note-Taker, Other: _____
- » **Norms:** Pausing, Paraphrasing, Posing Questions, Putting Ideas on the Table, Providing Data, Pay Attention to Self and Other, Presuming Positive Intentions, Other:

2. Present the Student Work (5 minutes)

- » The presenting teacher briefly shares and describes the student work.
- » Information should be minimal as to not bias the group.

3. Pose Guiding Question to Focus Feedback (2 minutes)

» The presenting teacher poses a guiding question to direct the analysis and feedback.

4. Examine Artifacts (5 minutes)

» Participants ask clarifying questions. These should be short questions intended to clarify, not probe.

5. Share Praise and Positive Feedback (5 minutes)

» Participants share praise and positive feedback about the design of the instruction.

6. Make Predictions (5 minutes)

» Participants make predictions about what they think they will see from the analysis of the student work.

7. Procedures for Analysis (15-30 minutes)

- » Facilitator engages participants in the steps from page 3 to foster collaborative analysis of the student work:
 - » Making observations and inferences about the student work
 - » Analyzing the standards
 - » Analyzing the student work as it relates to the standards.
- » While the presenting teacher is welcome to contribute to the conversation and answer questions, he or she should focus on listening and taking notes.

8. Feedback and Reflection (3 minutes)

- » After the analysis has ended, the presenter may ask clarifying questions about any part of the discussion.
- » The presenter shares his or her reflections and take-aways about the analysis and feedback.
- » Participants share their take-aways.

9. Debrief (2 minutes)

» The facilitator solicits feedback about the process.



Analysis of Student Work Protocol (page 2)

FOCUS FOR FEEDBACK PRAISE PREDICTIONS	NOTES			
PRAISE	BACKGROUND			
	FOCUS FOR FEEDBAC	K		
PREDICTIONS	PRAISE			
	PREDICTIONS			



Analysis of Student Work Protocol (page 3)

GUIDING QUESTIONS FOR FEEDBACK	
 Make Observations about the Student Work (5 minutes) Make a list of non-evaluative observations and descriptions about the student work. Look for patterns and trend 	S.
2. Make Inferences about Knowledge and Skills (5 minutes) Name the knowledge and skills students are demonstrating, or being expected to demonstrate, through the wor	k
radic the knowledge and skins students are demonstrating, or being expected to demonstrate, unough the wor	
3. Analyze the Focus Standards (5 minutes)	
» At this point, the presenter should share the focus standard that the student work is intended to demonstrate If he or she completed a prep sheet, share this as well.	
» If needed, use the back of this sheet to analyze and discuss the focus standard(s) to determine the knowledge and skills students are expected to master, as well as the degree of sophistication intended.	ļ.
4. Analyze the Student Work in Relation to the Standards (15 minutes)	
 To what extent is there evidence of mastery of the knowledge and skills as they relate to the focus standards? What are the implications for next steps in the instructional process? What do students need moving forward? 	



Analysis of Student Work Protocol (page 4)

Rev. 5/17

ш	I N	PA	10	ΚI	NG	TH	F.	E (\cap	CI	ς	ST	Δ	N	\Box	Δ	R	\Box)

Begin by recording the focus standard of the lesson. Then, unpack the standard by identifying stated or implied knowledge and skills that will be taught. After unpacking the standard, brainstorm possible misconceptions and implications.

FOCUS STANDARD							
NEW CONCEPTS / KNOWLEDGE TO BE TAUGHT Factual, Conceptual, Procedural, and/or Conditional Knowledge	NEW SKILLS / PROCESSES TO BE TAUGHT / PRACTICED Steps and Procedures to be Applied						
PRIOR KNOWLEDGE What students already should know	ESTABLISHED SKILLS What students should already be able to do						
POTENTIAL AREAS OF CONFUSION OR MISCONCEPTIONS THAT STUDENTS MAY HAVE							
IMPLICATIONS FOR INSTRUCTION (IDEAS FOR TEACHING)							
IMPLICATIONS FOR ASSESSMENT (IDEAS FOR ASSESSING)							
IF APPLICABLE, WHAT ASPECTS OF THE STANDARDS WOULD YOU LIKE TO LEARN MORE ABOUT?							